



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Ad

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/951,276 10/16/97 MCCARTHY D 402-038-19

MARK P STONE
1100 HIGH RIDGE ROAD
STAMFORD CT 06905

MM12/0831

EXAMINER

HAMMOND.B

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2833

DATE MAILED:
08/31/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.
08/951,276

Applicant(s)
David McCarthy

Examiner
Brigitte R. Hammond

Group Art Unit
2833



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 9, 1999

☒ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☒ The proposed drawing correction, filed on Aug 9, 1999 is ☐ approved ☒ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION III

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on Aug. 9, 1999 and filed as Paper No. 7. The examiner unintentionally neglected to include claims 9 and 14 in the last office action, therefore the finality of the last office action is hereby withdrawn.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "housing" and the "means for coupling said housing", the "open top" of the housing ; means for biasing the receptacle unit, locking means, "means for partially covering gap", the "brush", the "screen", and the covering means mounted to the top of the receptacle unit and being carried by the receptacle unit as it is being displaced, *these features must be shown or the feature(s) cancelled from the claim(s)*.

No new matter should be entered.

3. The drawings are also objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(b) because they are incomplete. 37 CFR 1.83(b) reads as follows:

When the invention consists of an improvement on an old machine the drawing must when possible exhibit, in one or more views, the improved portion itself, disconnected from the old structure, and also in another view, so much only of the old structure as will suffice to show the connection of the invention therewith.

Correction is required.

Art Unit: 2833

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There is a lack of written description for the following ; “means for coupling said housing”, *means for resiliently biasing*; “means” for selectively displacing the receptacle unit; the “open top” of the housing ; means for biasing the receptacle unit, locking means. And it is also unclear how the covering means are mounted to the top of the receptacle unit and carried by the receptacle unit as it is being displaced.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2833

7. Claims 1,2,6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Byrne 4,747,788. Byrne discloses a planar retractable receptacle 150 with sidewalls (front side of box 152) adapted to be mounted in an opening in a top surface of an article of furniture 104, a gap 168, biasing means 180, and locking means 182.

8. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mitchell et al. Mitchell et al. disclose an article of furniture 10 with a top surface 12, a receptacle unit 30, with a top 50 and a receptacle 48, and a housing 50 mounted on the underside of furniture 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. Claims 3-5,7-15,17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Byrne 4,747,788. Byrne discloses a gap space 166, to permit transmission lines to extend through and means 168 for covering the gap space. Byrne does not show each sidewall having a receptacle. However, on page 9 lines 54-57 Byrne discloses that the receptacle box 152 (which includes the walls) could be double sided to accommodate multiple receptacles. It would have been obvious to modify the receptacle of Byrne to include receptacles on multiple sidewalls to supply multiple outlet capacity. Also, since applicant has not disclosed that having a different sidewall of the receptacle unit facing a different side of the article of furniture as a critical feature, the variations are considered to be obvious.

Art Unit: 2833

Conclusion

Response to Arguments

10. In response to applicant's argument that the drawings are not complete. Applicant is requested to review the patents in the application which show actual "details" of the recited claims.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner has not addressed all of the arguments presented by the applicant. There is insufficient structural relationship recited between the elements in the claims, applicant needs to submit approved drawings with the claimed elements shown.

11. Applicant's arguments filed August 9, 1999 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2833

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brigitte R. Hammond whose telephone number is (703) 305-0032.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 7:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley, can be reached on (703) 308-2319. Papers may be faxed directly to Group 2833 at (703) 305-3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Brigitte R. Hammond

August 30, 1999


GARY F. PAUMEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER